301AA - Advanced Programming

Lecturer: Andrea Corradini

andrea@di.unipi.it

http://pages.di.unipi.it/corradini/

AP-11: Polymorphism

Outline

- Polymorphism: a classification
- Overloading
- Coercion
- Inclusion polymorphism
- Overriding

Polymorphism

- From Greek: πολυμορφος, composed of πολυ (many) and μορφή (form), thus "having several forms"
- "Forms" are types
- "Polymorphic" are function names (also operators, methods, ...)
- "Polymorphic" can also be types (parametric data types, type constructors, generics, ...)
 - Usually as encapsulation of several related function names

Flavors of polymorphism

- Ad hoc
- Bounded
- Contravariant
- Covariant
- Inclusion
- Invariant
- Parametric
- Universal
- ...

Related concepts:

- Coercion
- Generics
- Inheritance
- Macros
- Overloading
- Overriding
- Subtyping
- Templates
- •


Universal vs. ad hoc polymorphism

- With ad hoc polymorphism the same function name denotes different algorithms, determined by the actual types
- With universal polymorphism there is only one algorithm: a single (universal) solution applies to objects of different types
- Ad hoc and universal polymorphism can coexist

Binding time

- The binding of the function name with the actual code to execute can be
 - at compile time early, static binding
 - at linking time
 - at execution time late, dynamic binding
- If it spans over different phases, the binding time is the last one.
- The earlier the better, for debugging reasons.

Classification of Polymorphism



Overloading (Ad hoc polymorphism)

- Present in all languages, at least for built-in arithmetic operators: +, *, -, ...
- Sometimes supported for user defined functions (Java, C++, ...)
- C++, Haskell, Python,... allow overloading of primitive operators by user defined functions
- The code to execute is determined by the type of the arguments, thus
 - early binding in statically typed languages
 - late binding in dynamically typed languages

Overloading: an example

Function for squaring a number:
 sqr(x) { return x * x; }

- Typed version (like in C):int sqr(int x) { return x * x; }
- Multiple versions for different types (C):

```
int sqrInt(int x) { return x * x; }
double sqrDouble(double x) { return x * x; }
```

Overloading (Java, C++):

```
int sqr(int x) { return x * x; }
double sqr(double x) { return x * x; }
```

Overloading in Haskell

- Haskell introduces type classes for handling overloading in presence of type inference
- Very nice and clean solution, unlike most programming languages
- Adopted by Rust: traits
- We shall present this later in the course


Coercion (Universal polymorphism)

- Coercion: automatic conversion of an object to a different type
- Opposed to casting, which is explicit

```
double sqrt(double x) {...}
double d = sqrt(5) // applied to int
```

- Thus the same code is applied to arguments of different types
- In well-designed languages, coercion only possible if there is no loss of information (Java vs C++)
- Degenerate, uninteresting case of polymorphism

Classification of Polymorphism



Inclusion polymorphism

- Also known as subtyping polymorphism, or just inheritance
- Polymorphism ensured by (Barbara Liskov')
 Substitution principle: an object of a subtype (subclass) can be used in any context where an object of the supertype (superclass) is expected
- [Java, C++,...] methods/functions with a formal parameter of type T accept an actual parameter of type S <: T (S subtype of T).
- Methods/virtual functions declared in a class can be invoked on objects of subclasses, if not redefined...

Overriding

 [Java] A method m of a class A can be redefined in a subclass B of A.

```
class A{
   public void m() {
      // prints "A"
}}
class B extends A{
   public void m() {
      // prints "B"
}}
```

Dynamic binding:

```
A a = new B(); // legal
a.m(); // overridden method in B is invoked
```

- Overriding introduces ad hoc polymorphism in the universal polymorphism of inheritance
- Resolved at runtime by the lookup done by the invokevirtual operation of the JVM

An effect of Overriding: class TempLabel

«Create a Java Bean named **TempLabel** that implements a temperature converter from Celsius to Farenheit. This Bean must extend <u>JLabel</u> redefining the **setText** method in such a way that **setText("c")** visualizes the value obtained by converting c into Farenheit.»

```
import javax.swing.*;
public class TempLabel extends JLabel {
    @Override
    public void setText(String s) {
        double celsius = Double.parseDouble(s);
        super.setText(Double.toString(celsius * 9 / 5 + 32 ));
      }
}

public static void main(String[] args) {
      TempLabel lab = new TempLabel();
      lab.setText("0.0");
      System.out.println(lab.getText());
}
```

Exception in thread "main" java.lang.NumberFormatException: empty String

The constructor of

TempLabel invokes

the default

constructor of

JLabel, which

invokes

this("",...,...),

which invokes

setText("").

Overloading + Overriding: C++ vs Java

```
class A {
public:
   virtual void onFoo() {}
   virtual void onFoo(int i) {}
};
class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void onFoo(int i) {}
};
class C : public B {
};
int main() {
    C* c = new C();
    c->onFoo();
                //Compile error -
                 // doesn't exist
```

```
class A {
    public void onFoo() {}
    public void onFoo(int i) {}
class B extends A {
    public void onFoo(int i) {}
}
class C extends B {
}
class D {
public static void main(String[] s)
{
        C c = new C();
        c.onFoo();
                     //Compiles !!
                                 16
```

Overriding + Overloading

- [Java] Overloading is type-checked by the compiler
- Overriding resolved at runtime by the lookup done by invokevirtual
- [C++] Dynamic method dispatch: C++ adds a v-table to each object from a class having virtual methods
- The compiler does not see any declaration of onFoo in C, so it continues upwards in the hierarchy. When it checks B, it finds a declaration of void onFoo(int i), so it stops lookup and tries overload resolution, but it fails due to the inconsistency in the arguments.
- void onFoo(int i) hides the definitions of onFoo in the superclass.
- Solution: add using A::onFoo; to class B