## Exam of Optimization Methods (fac-simile)

1. Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases}
\min_{\substack{x_1 \ge 0 \\ x_2 \ge 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 \le 10}} \min_{x_1 \ge x_1 + x_2} - \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 12x_1 + 4x_2}{x_1 + 4x_2} \\$$

- a) Do global optimal solutions exist? Why?
- b) Is it a convex problem? Why?
- c) Does the Abadie constraints qualification hold in any feasible point?
- d) Is the point (4, 4) a local minimum? Why?
- e) Find all the solutions of the KKT system.
- f) Find all local minima and global minima.
- 2. Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 9x_1 - 8x_2 - 2x_3 \\ 0 \le x_1 \le 2 \\ 0 \le x_2 \le 1 \\ 0 \le x_3 \le 2 \end{cases}$$

- a) Do global optimal solutions exist? Why?
- b) Is it a convex problem? Why?
- c) Solve the problem by using the active-set method starting from the point (2, 1, 0). What is the global minimum? How many iterations are needed?
- d) Solve the problem by using the Frank-Wolfe method with exact line search, tolerance  $10^{-3}$  and starting from the point (0, 0, 0). What is the global minimum? How many iterations are needed?
- e) Solve the problem by means of the penalty method with τ = 0.5, ε<sub>0</sub> = 1 and min(b Ax) > -10<sup>-4</sup> as stopping criterion. What is the global minimum? How many iterations are needed? *Hint: Solve the penalized problems with the fminunc function, use* (0,0,0) as starting point and the following options:
  options = optimoptions('fminunc', 'GradObj', 'on',... 'Algorithm', 'quasi-newton', 'Display', 'off').

3. Consider the following unconstrained multiobjective problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min \left( (x_1+1)^2 + (x_2-7)^2 , (x_1-3)^2 + (x_2-8)^2 , (x_1-4)^2 + (x_2-2)^2 \right) \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

- a) Is it a convex problem? Why?
- b) Do Pareto minima exist? Why?
- c) Find all the weak Pareto minima by using the first-order optimality conditions.
- d) Find all the Pareto minima by using the scalarization method.
- e) Find the ideal point.
- 4. Consider the following bimatrix game:

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 8 & 5 \\ 2 & 9 & 6 \\ 7 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 7 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- a) Find strictly dominated strategies (if any) and reduce the cost matrices accordingly.
- b) Do pure strategies Nash equilibria exist? Why?
- c) Evaluate the gap function at the point (x, y), where x = (1/2, 1/2) and y = (1/3, 2/3), in order to check if it is a Nash equilibrium.
- d) Plot the polyhedra P and Q related to the game and find all the mixed strategies Nash equilibria.
- e) Find the KKT multipliers related to the mixed strategies Nash equilibrium.

## Solutions

- 1. a) Yes. The existence of a global minimum is guaranteed by the Weierstrass theorem.
  - b) No. The objective function is not convex because its hessian matrix is not positive semidefinite.
  - c) Yes, because the constraints are linear.
  - d) No, because (4, 4) does not solve the KKT system.
  - e) The solutions of the KKT system are:

 $\begin{aligned} x &= (6,0), \ \lambda &= (0,4,0), \\ x &= (6,2), \ \lambda &= (0,0,0), \\ x &= (0,0), \ \lambda &= (12,4,0), \\ x &= (0,2), \ \lambda &= (12,0,0), \\ x &= (7,3), \ \lambda &= (0,0,2), \\ x &= (10,0), \ \lambda &= (0,12,8), \\ x &= (0,10), \ \lambda &= (28,0,16). \end{aligned}$ 

- f) The global minimum is (0, 10). The local minima are (0, 0) and (10, 0).
- 2. a) Yes. The existence of a global minimum is guaranteed by the Weierstrass theorem.
  - b) Yes. The constraints are linear and the objective function is convex because its hessian matrix is positive semidefinite.
  - c) After 2 iterations the active-set method finds the global minimum (2, 1, 1).
  - d) After 2 iterations the Frank-Wolfe method finds the global minimum (2, 1, 1).
  - e) After 16 iterations the penalty method finds the approximated global minimum (2.000061, 1.000061, 1.000000)
- 3. a) Yes, the objective functions are convex.
  - b) Pareto minima exist because the objective functions are strongly convex (and hence coercive).
  - c) The set of weak Pareto minima is the triangle with vertices (-1,7), (3,8) and (4,2).
  - d) The set of Pareto minima coincides with the set of weak Pareto minima. Since the problem is convex, any weak Pareto minimum is the optimal solution of a scalarized problem  $(P_{\alpha})$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Moreover, for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  the scalarized problem  $(P_{\alpha})$  has a unique optimal solution since its objective function is strongly convex, hence such optimal solution is a Pareto minimum. Therefore, any weak Pareto minimum is a Pareto minimum.
  - e) The ideal point is (0, 0, 0).

- 4. a) Row 2 and column 3 can be deleted from matrices  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  because they are strictly dominated strategies.
  - b) No.
  - c) The value of the gap function is 7/3, hence x = (1/2, 1/2), y = (1/3, 2/3) is not a Nash equilibrium.
  - d) There is a unique mixed strategies Nash equilibrium: x = (6/7, 1/7), y = (5/11, 6/11).
  - e) The KKT multipliers are  $\mu^1 = -53/11$ ,  $\mu^2 = -31/7$  [by using the matrices  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  obtained at point a)].