Università degli Studi di Pisa Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Informatica

Anno Accademico 2021-2022

Insegnamento di

Foundation of Computing

Pagina del corso: http:/pages.di.unipi.it/montanari/FOC.html

Note di

Pi Calcolo

a cura di Ugo Montanari Dipartimento di Informatica Università degli Studi di Pisa ugo@di.unipi.it



 $CAR(talk, switch) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{talk}.CAR(talk, switch) + switch(xt, xs).CAR(xt, xs).$

 $BASE_{i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} talk_{i}.BASE_{i} + give_{i}(xt, xs).\overline{switch_{i}}(xt, xs).IDLEBASE_{i}$ $IDLEBASE_{i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} alert_{i}.BASE_{i}.$

 $CENTRE_{1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{give_{1}} \langle talk_{2}, switch_{2} \rangle . \overline{alert_{2}} . CENTRE_{2}$ $CENTRE_{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{give_{2}} \langle talk_{1}, switch_{1} \rangle . \overline{alert_{1}} . CENTRE_{1}.$

SYSTEM $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} CAR(talk_1, switch_1) \mid BASE_1 \mid IDLEBASE_2 \mid CENTRE_1.$

Operational semantics of the TT-calculus P ::= uil | dP | Ex=yJP | P+P | PIP | (y)P | !P $d ::= T | x(y) | \overline{x}y$



 Example 13.3 (Scope extrusion). We conclude this section by showing an example of the use of the rule system. Let us consider the following system:

$$(((y)\overline{x}y.p) \mid q) \mid x(z).r$$

where p,q,r are π -calculus processes. The process $(y)\overline{x} y.p$ would like to set up a private channel with x(z).r, which however should remain hidden to q. By using the inference rules of the operational semantics we can proceed in a goal-oriented fashion to find a derivation for the corresponding transition:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} (((y)\overline{x}y.p) \mid q) \mid x(z).r \xrightarrow{\alpha} s \\ & \swarrow (\text{CloseL}), \alpha = \tau, s = (w)(s_1 \mid r_1) & ((y)\overline{x}y.p) \mid q \xrightarrow{\overline{x}(w)} s_1, \quad x(z).r \xrightarrow{x(w)} r_1 \\ & \swarrow (\text{ParL}), s_1 = p_1 \mid q, w \notin \text{fn}(q) & (y)\overline{x}y.p \xrightarrow{\overline{x}(w)} p_1 \quad x(z).r \xrightarrow{x(w)} r_1 \\ & \swarrow (\text{Open}), p_1 = p_2[^w/y], w \notin \text{fn}((y).p) & \overline{x}y.p \xrightarrow{\overline{x}y} p_2, \quad x(z).r \xrightarrow{x(w)} r_1 \\ & \swarrow (\text{Out}) + (\text{In}), r_1 = r[^w/z], p_2 = p, w \notin \text{fn}((z).r) \end{array}$$

so we have:

$$p_{2} = p$$

$$p_{1} = p_{2}[^{w}/_{y}] = p[^{w}/_{y}]$$

$$r_{1} = r[^{w}/_{z}]$$

$$s_{1} = p_{1} \mid q = p[^{w}/_{y}] \mid q$$

$$s = (w)(s_{1} \mid r_{1}) = (w)((p[^{w}/_{y}] \mid q) \mid (r[^{w}/_{z}]))$$

$$\alpha = \tau$$

In conclusion:

$$(((y)\overline{x}y.p) \mid q) \mid x(z).r \xrightarrow{\tau} (w) ((p[w/y] \mid q) \mid (r[w/z]))$$

under the condition that *w* is fresh, i.e., that $w \notin fn(q) \cup fn((y)p) \cup fn((z)r)$.

Bisimulation

Early bisimilar processes

Formally, a binary relation S on π -calculus agents is a strong early ground bisimulation if:

$$\forall p, q. \ p \ S \ q \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \forall \alpha, p'. \ \text{if } p \xrightarrow{\alpha} p' \text{ with } \alpha \neq x(y) \land \text{bn}(\alpha) \cap \text{fn}(q) = \emptyset, \\ \text{then } \exists q'. \ q \xrightarrow{\alpha} q' \text{ and } p' \ S \ q' \\ \forall x, y, p'. \ \text{if } p \xrightarrow{x(y)} p' \text{ with } y \notin \text{fn}(q), \\ \text{then } \forall w. \ \exists q'. \ q \xrightarrow{x(y)} q' \text{ and } p'[^w/_y] \ S \ q'[^w/_y] \\ (\text{and vice versa}) \end{cases}$$

Late bisimilar processes

$$\forall x, y, p'. \text{ if } p \xrightarrow{x(y)} p' \text{ with } y \notin \text{fn}(q),$$

then $\exists q'. q \xrightarrow{x(y)} q' \text{ and } \forall w. p'[w/y] S q'[w/y]$

Processes which are early but not late bisimilar

 $p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x(y) \cdot \tau \cdot \mathbf{nil} + x(y) \cdot \mathbf{nil} \qquad q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p + x(y) \cdot [y = z] \tau \cdot \mathbf{nil}$

^{*i*}hose transitions are (for any fresh name *u*):

$$p \xrightarrow{x(u)} \tau. \operatorname{nil} \qquad q \xrightarrow{x(u)} \tau. \operatorname{nil}$$
$$p \xrightarrow{x(u)} \operatorname{nil} \qquad q \xrightarrow{x(u)} \operatorname{nil}$$
$$q \xrightarrow{x(u)} [u = z] \tau. \operatorname{nil}$$

Example 13.6 (Ground bisimilarities are not congruences). Let us consider the following agents:

$$p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{x}x.$$
 nil $|x'(y).$ nil $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{x}x.x'(y).$ nil $+x'(y).\overline{x}x.$ nil

We leave the reader to check that the agents p and q are bisimilar (according to both early and late bisimilarities). Now, in order to show that ground bisimulations are not congruences, we define the following context:

$$C[\cdot] = z(x').[\cdot]$$

by plugging *p* and *q* inside the hole of $C[\cdot]$ we get:

$$C[p] = z(x').(\overline{x}x.\operatorname{nil} \mid x'(y).\operatorname{nil}) \qquad C[q] = z(x').(\overline{x}x.x'(y).\operatorname{nil} + x'(y).\overline{x}x.\operatorname{nil})$$

C[p] and C[q] are not early bisimilar (and thus not late bisimilar). In fact, suppose the name *x* is received on *z*: we need to compare the agents

$$p' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{x}x.$$
 nil $|x(y).$ nil $q' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{x}x.x(y).$ nil $+ x(y).\overline{x}x.$ nil

Now p' can perform a τ -transition, but q' cannot.

The problem illustrated by the previous example is due to aliasing, and it appears often in programming languages with both global variables and parameter passing to procedures. It can be solved by defining a finer relation between agents called *strong early full bisimilarity* and defined as follows:

 $p \simeq_E q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p\sigma \sim_E q\sigma$ for every substitution σ

where a substitution σ is a function from names to names that is equal to the identity function almost everywhere (i.e., it differs from the identity function only on a finite number of elements of the domain).

Analogously, we can define *strong late full bisimilarity* \simeq_L by letting

 $p \simeq_L q \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p\sigma \sim_L q\sigma$ for every substitution σ

Axioms for structural equivalence

$p + \mathbf{nil} \equiv p$	$p+q\equiv q+p$	$(p+q)+r\equiv p+(q+r)$
$p \mid \mathbf{nil} \equiv p$	$p \mid q \equiv q \mid p$	$(p \mid q) \mid r \equiv p \mid (q \mid r)$
(x) nil \equiv nil	$(y)(x)p \equiv (x)(y)p$	$(x)(p \mid q) \equiv p \mid (x)q \text{ if } x \notin fn(p)$
$[x = y]$ nil \equiv nil	$[x=x]p \equiv p$	$p \mid !p \equiv !p$

1 Categorical Seucantics of TI-Calculus CCS: algebre + coeffetre => bielfebre IT-celoulus names/lane generation in addition P => bn (2) nfu(9)= \$ is not Definuoue pSq implies p =>p with bn(2) nfn(q)= 0 ... this does lot correspond to a coalpetore States with halues FM pets sets equipped with actions as FN sets are algebras with finite-Reruch pornutetters Autf= 1T: w >w } { { x & w Tr(n) = x } the kernel manaduc operation $(\overline{H}_2(n)) = \chi$ wthations $\overline{H}_1(\overline{H}_2(n)) = (\overline{H}_1 \cdot \overline{H}_2)(n)$ Permitation appearas < A, 217, :A=A/TEAUFR $arb_{t}(\alpha) = \{\pi_{t}(\alpha) | \pi \in Aut f \}$

Sommetrij of all clenneret of a Pern. Algebora $G_{A}(a) = 2\pi \epsilon Autf/\pi_{A}(a) = a$ deutity proup of XEW $fix(x) = 2\pi\epsilon Art = idx$ X supports a $\int f(x) \leq G_A la$ Supp_A(a) is the mitural factor X D Ale FSALP are categoriss algebra There is a theory was phistle (njective! $+1(i) = 10 \quad 1 = 0 \quad \text{ight shift}$ D. Alg - Alg inte pretent funder astractor auscreted to It, with the norphista Squax of Acalcolo: De Bruin indexe $\lambda \mathcal{R} \cdot \lambda \mathcal{G} \cdot (\mathcal{R} \mathcal{F}) \equiv \lambda \cdot \lambda \cdot (10)$ L: = Ax. L/LL/x $T(x) = \delta(x) + X \times X + \omega$ Fixpoint X 2 T/X Is the initial algebra







7051s) Saturation vie Right Kan Ertension Construction by Fibre et al. Instead of AlgTT we Use Set: He preshed where the exponent is I, the category of finite sets with injective mapping. Set is equivelent to Alg. Fice IT has only monadic operations. However also Set has thesaule problem: no lifting to Alg. We could could could bet (all mappings), but justing case the LTS of u-alculus could not be lifted to a coalgebra i'u Set & for the usual reaspin: bisimulation is not a confisence for furious, LAJ = V; AThe Porgetful functor Set > Set V: F->I has not only a left, but die a nght adjoint (go kan Extension) [-] Set I Set Now a behaviored functor B in Set an be lifted

Now a behaviored function B in Set care a pred to $\hat{B}(P) = \lceil B(LPJ) \rceil$ and a coalgebra (LPJ, P) for B can be lifted to a coalgebra $(P, \lceil P \rceil \circ \eta_P)$ for \hat{B} $\lceil P \rceil : \overline{\Gamma}[PJ \rceil \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}B(LPJ) = \hat{B}(P) - \eta : P \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}[P] \rceil$ He unity γ_P He adjunction.

(70 ter) The diffed coalgebra has richer labels (∇, d) where $\nabla \in F[H_1 \rightarrow H_2]$ (possibly 2 fusion) and χ is a label of B. We have $N_1 + P \xrightarrow{(r,d)} N + P' \iff N_2 + P \xrightarrow{(idd)} N + P'$ where the second tranships has a corresponding transition N2+P[] ~ XFP' in (LPJP). Thus lifting adds trankliving (seturation) which correspond to observe the effects of all The arrows in the index category. Thus bisi miler states must behave are sponding ly for all such substitutions.

Hillory Dependent Automets p x 3 9 infinite bracechig chase one forfaite stete. 3 p 203 g [3] different Han all the others. 72 (3) 7 72 (3) 7 72 (3) chappe the same 2 OK ande fly, does not or minimi schare local remen HD autsmale • <u>[</u>] < nechae ng > np U2 #3 n ugu name Bis milletion: set of states with partial bijethere Group of squareties bis willer to itself witt a p. bijethiere different frace it Callebraic theory based an Wand Sets H= < QN, SN) RN DEmiset 4 19 15 Valled at are compact represent they g or lasts 11 Cally her atconing in nated set win Alle but smaller do ect