1) Consider the following grammar **G** :

 $R \rightarrow (R) \mid R + R \mid RR \mid R^* \mid a$

- a) Provide a leftmost and a rightmost derivation for string (a+a)*a
- b) Draw a parse tree for string (a+a)*a
- c) Describe the language generated by grammar **G**
- d) Draw an abstract syntax tree of string (a+a)*a
- e) Is grammar **G** ambiguous? Is string **(a+a)***a ambiguous?
- f) Transform grammar **G** by left-factorizing it: call *LF*(**G**) the resulting grammar
- g) Draw a parse tree for the string **(a+a)*a** with respect to grammar *LF*(**G**)
- h) Transform grammar **G** by eliminating left recursion, obtaining grammar *LRE*(**G**)
- i) Draw a parse tree for the string (a+a)*a with respect to grammar LRE(G)
- 2) Consider the following grammar over the set of terminal symbols {id , ", + }:

$$\begin{array}{l} S \ \rightarrow \ id \ | \ " \ T \ " \\ T \ \rightarrow \ S \ V \\ V \ \rightarrow \ \epsilon \ | \ + \ S \ V \end{array}$$

- a) Show First(α) for each production X $\rightarrow \alpha$ and Follow(A) for each non-terminal A
- b) Build the LL(1) parse table
- c) Starting from the configuration (**stack:** S \$, **input:** " id + id " \$), show the evolution of the stack and of the input **in the first six steps** of the top-down predictive parsing algorithm using the LL(1) parse table. (Note: the top of the stack is to the left.)
- 3) Given grammar $A \rightarrow AA + | a$
 - a) Is string Aa+A+ a sentential form? Is it a right-sentential form?
 - b) Which is the handle in string **AA+a+**?
- 4) Given grammar $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E} \mid \mathbf{x}$
 - a) Is the following claim true or false? Motivate your answer.
 "In string E+E+x, both E + E and x are handles."

5) Consider grammar

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{S} \rightarrow (\mathsf{A} \mathsf{)} & | & \mathsf{x} \\ \mathsf{A} \rightarrow & \mathsf{A} + \mathsf{S} \mathsf{|} & \mathsf{S} \end{array}$$

- a) Show First(α) for each production $X \rightarrow \alpha$ and Follow(X) for each non-terminal X
- b) Is the grammar LL(1)? Justify your answer
- c) Draw the LR(0) automaton of the grammar
- d) Draw the SLR parsing table of the grammar
- e) Starting from the configuration (**stack:** 0, **input:** (**x** + **x**)), show the evolution of the stack and of the input **in the first six steps** of the bottom-up LR parsing algorithm using the SLR(1) parse table. (Note: the "0" in the stack represents the start state of the LR(0) automaton.)
- 6) Consider the following grammar, whose terminals are {**a**, ?}:

$$S \rightarrow A$$

$$A \rightarrow B \mid B A$$

$$B \rightarrow a ? C$$

$$C \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid a C$$

- a) Left-factor the grammar,
- b) Compute the First(A) and Follow(α) sets for each production A $\rightarrow \alpha$ of the resulting grammar.
- c) Build the LL(1) parse table.
- d) Explain why the grammar is not LL(1).
- e) Show that the language is LL(2), arguing convincingly that the conflicts can be resolved by looking ahead one more token.
- 7) Consider the grammar:

$$A \rightarrow CaBa$$
$$A \rightarrow B$$
$$B \rightarrow C$$
$$C \rightarrow b$$

- a) What is the language generated by the grammar? Is it ambiguous?
- b) Construct the LR(0) automaton
- c) Build the SLR parse table. Is the grammar SLR?
- d) Construct the LR(1) automaton and the LR(1) parsing table. Is the grammar LR(1)?