603AA - Principles of Programming Languages [PLP-2014]

Andrea Corradini Department of Computer Science, Pisa Academic Year 2014/15

Admins

- http://www.di.unipi.it/~andrea/Didattica/PLP-14/
- 9 CFU/ECTS (3 + 6)
- Replaces previous PLP of 12 CFU [379AA]
- Students enrolled till AY 2013/14 have to integrate the course with a 3 CFU activity
 - To be agreed upon with me
- Office Hours?
- Please, fill in the sheet with required info

Evaluation

- 2 midterms
 - December 18, 2014, at 16:00
 - March or May 2014
- Written proof
- Oral examination
- Homeworks? Project? Seminars?

Course Objectives

- Understand the significance of the design of a programming language and its implementation in a compiler or interpreter
- Enhance the ability to learn new programming languages
- Understand how programs are parsed and translated by a compiler
- Be able to define LL(1), LR(1), and LALR(1) grammars
- Know how to use compiler construction tools, such as generators of scanners and parsers
- Be able, in principle, to implement significant parts of a compiler
- Improve the understanding of general programming concepts and the ability to choose among alternative ways to express things in a particular programming language
- Simulate useful features in languages that lack them

Course Outline (temptative)

- Abstract Machines and their Languages
- Interpretation and Compilation
- Structure of a Compiler
 - Lexical Analysis and Lex/Flex
 - Syntax Analysis and Yacc
 - Syntax-Directed Translation
 - Static Semantics and Type Checking
 - Intermediate Code Generation
- Programming language concepts and their semantics
 - Names, scopes and bindings
 - Control flow
 - Data types
 - Control abstraction
 - Data abstraction
- Programming paradigms
 - Logic programming
 - Scripting languages
 - Functional programming
 - Object-Oriented programming

Textbooks

- [Scott] Programming Language Pragmatics by Michael L. Scott, 3rd edition
- [ALSU] Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools
 by Alfred V. Aho, Monica S. Lam, Ravi Sethi, and Jeffrey D. Ullman, 2nd edition
- [GM] Programming Languages: Principles and Paradigms by Maurizio Gabbrielli and Simone Martini
- + other references







Credits

- Slides freely taken and elaborated from a number of sources:
 - Marco Bellia (DIP)
 - Gianluigi Ferrari (DIP)
 - Robert A. van Engelen (Florida State University)
 - Gholamreza Ghassem-Sani (Sharif University of Technology)

Abstract Machines

Abstract Machine for a Language L

- Given a programming language L, an Abstract Machine M_L for L is a collection of data structures and algorithms which can perform the storage and execution of programs written in L
- An abstraction of the concept of hardware machine
- Structure of an abstract machine:





The Machine Language of an AM

- Given and Abstract machine M, the machine language L_M of M
 includes all programs which can be executed by the interpreter of M
- Programs are particular data on which the interpreter can act
- The components of **M** correspond to components of L_M , eg:
 - Primitive data types
 - Control structures
 - Parameter passing and value return
 - Memory management
- Every Abstract Machine has a unique Machine Language
- A programming language can have several Abstact Machines

An example: the Hardware Machine



- The language?
- The memory?
- The interpreter?
- Operations and Data Structures for:
 - Primitive Data processing?
 - Sequence control?
 - Data Transfer control?
 - Memory management?

Implementing an Abstract Machine

- Each abstract machine can be implemented in hardware or in firmware, but if it is high-level this is not convenient in general
- An abstract machine M can be implemented over a host machine M_o, which we assume is already implemented
- The components of M are realized using data structures and algorithms implemented in the machine language of M_o
- Two main cases:
 - The interpreter of \mathbf{M} coincides with the interpreter of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$
 - **M** is an **extension** of **M**_o
 - other components of the machines can differ
 - The interpreter of \mathbf{M} is different from the interpreter of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}$
 - M is interpreted over Mo
 - other components of the machines may coincide

Hierarchies of Abstract Machines

- Implementation of an AM with another can be iterated, leading to a hierarchy (onion skin model)
- Example:

E-Business machine (on-line commerce applications)

Web Service machine (languages for web services)

Web machine (browser etc.)

HL machine (Java)

Intermediate machine (Java Bytecode)

Operating System machine

Firmware machine

Hardware machine

Implementing a Programming Language

- L high level programming language
- **M**_L abstract machine for **L**
- **M**_o host machine

Pure Interpretation

- M_L is interpreted over M_o
- Not very efficient, mainly because of the interpreter (fetch-decode phases)

• Pure Compilation

- Programs written in L are translated into equivalent programs written in L_o, the machine language of M_o
- The translated programs can be executed directly on M_o
 - **M**_L is not realized at all
- Execution more efficient, but the produced code is larger
- Two limit cases that almost never exist in reality

Pure Interpretation

• Program **P** in **L** as a partial function on **D**:



• Set of programs in L:

 $\mathscr{P}rog^{\mathscr{L}}$



• The interpreter defines a function

 $\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{L}}^{\mathscr{L}o}:(\mathscr{P}rog^{\mathscr{L}}\times\mathscr{D})\to\mathscr{D}\quad\text{such that }\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{L}}^{\mathscr{L}o}(\mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{L}},\mathit{Input})=\mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{L}}(\mathit{Input})$

Pure [cross] Compilation

A compiler from *L* to *LO* defines a function

 $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}_{O}}:\mathscr{P}rog^{\mathscr{L}}\to\mathscr{P}rog^{\mathscr{L}_{O}}$

such that if

 $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{L},\mathscr{L}_0}(\mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{L}}) = \mathscr{P}c^{\mathscr{L}_0},$

then for every *Input* we have $\mathscr{P}^{\mathscr{L}}(Input) = \mathscr{P}c^{\mathscr{L}o}(Input)$



Compilers versus Interpreters

- Compilers efficiently fix decisions that can be taken at compile time to avoid to generate code that makes this decision at run time
 - Type checking at compile time vs. runtime
 - Static allocation
 - Static linking
 - Code optimization
- Compilation leads to better performance in general
 - Allocation of variables without variable lookup at run time
 - Aggressive code optimization to exploit hardware features
- Interpretation facilitates interactive debugging and testing
 - Interpretation leads to better diagnostics of a programming problem
 - Procedures can be invoked from command line by a user
 - Variable values can be inspected and modified by a user

Compilation + Interpretation

- All implementations of programming languages use both. At least:
 - Compilation (= translation) from external to internal representation
 - Interpretation for I/O operations (runtime support)
- Can be modeled by identifying an Intermediate Abstract Machine M_I with language L_I
 - A program in L is compiled to a program in L_I
 - The program in L_{I} is executed by an interpreter for M_{I}

Compilation + Interpretation with Intermediate Abstract Machine



- The "pure" schemes as limit cases
- Let us sketch some typical implementation schemes...

Virtual Machines as Intermediate Abstract Machines

- Several language implementations adopt a compilation + interpretation schema, where the Intermediate Abstract Machine is called Virtual Machine
- Adopted by Pascal, Java, Smalltalk-80, C#, functional and logic languages, and some scripting languages
 - Pascal compilers generate P-code that can be interpreted or compiled into object code
 - Java compilers generate bytecode that is interpreted by the Java virtual machine (JVM)
 - The JVM may translate bytecode into machine code by just-in-time (JIT) compilation

Compilation and Execution on Virtual Machines

- Compiler generates intermediate program
- Virtual machine interprets the intermediate program



Pure Compilation and Static Linking

- Adopted by the typical Fortran systems
- Library routines are separately linked (merged) with the object code of the program



Compilation, Assembly, and Static Linking

• Facilitates debugging of the compiler



Compilation, Assembly, and Dynamic Linking

• Dynamic libraries (DLL, .so, .dylib) are linked at run-time by the OS (via stubs in the executable)



Preprocessing

 Most C and C++ compilers use a preprocessor to import header files and expand macros



The CPP Preprocessor

• Early C++ compilers used the CPP preprocessor to generated C code for compilation



Compilers

The Analysis-Synthesis Model of Compilation

- Compilers translate programs written in a language into equivalent programs in another language
- There are two parts to compilation:
 - Analysis determines the operations implied by the source program which are recorded in a tree structure
 - Synthesis takes the tree structure and translates the operations therein into the target program

Other Tools that Use the Analysis-Synthesis Model

- Editors (syntax highlighting)
- Pretty printers (e.g. Doxygen)
- Static checkers (e.g. Lint and Splint)
- Interpreters
- Text formatters (e.g. TeX and LaTeX)
- Silicon compilers (e.g. VHDL)
- Query interpreters/compilers (Databases)

Several compilation techniques are used in other kinds of systems

Compilation Phases and Passes

- Compilation of a program proceeds through a fixed series of phases
- A **pass** is one phase or a sequence of phases that starts from a representation of the program and produces another representation of it
- Passes can be serialized, phases not necessarily
 - Pascal, FORTRAN, C languages designed for one-pass compilation, which explains the need for function prototypes
 - Single-pass compilers need less memory to operate
 - Java and ADA are multi-pass

