

# Translation of Definite Iteration: Pascal For / 1

How does it do it ?

$$\begin{array}{l}
 S \vdash ei \rightarrow (S1,vi) \quad S1 \vdash ef \rightarrow (S2,vf) \quad S2 \vdash e \rightarrow (S3,v) \quad (\text{Semantics of Pascal For}) \\
 C \equiv a \ c1 \ \dots \ ck \quad k = \lfloor (vf-vi)/v \rfloor + 1 \\
 a \equiv i:=vi \quad (1 \leq j \leq k, \ a_j \equiv i:=vi+j*v \quad c_j \equiv c \ a_j) \\
 S3 \vdash C \rightarrow S4 \\
 \hline
 S \vdash \text{for } i:=ei \text{ to } ef \text{ step } e \text{ do } c \rightarrow S4
 \end{array}$$

Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= R$

$R ::= \text{For } ide:=E \text{ To } E \text{ Step } E \text{ do } C;$

Is the translation correct, when the block C can update index ide?

The extended part of the Attribute Grammar

$C ::= R \{C.next:=R.next\}$

$R ::= \text{For } ide:=E_1 \{emit(ide.loc := "E_1.loc")\}$

To  $E_2$

Step  $E_3$  do  $\{loop-init:=quad;$

$R.next:=quad;$

$emit("if" ide.loc [>] E_3.loc "goto --")\}$

$C; \{BK(C.nex,loop-init);$

$emit(ide.loc := "ide.loc [+] E.loc);$

$emit("goto" loop-init)\}$

# Translation of Definite Iteration: Pascal For / 2

How does it to do it ?

$$\begin{array}{l}
 S \vdash ei \rightarrow (S1,vi) \quad S1 \vdash ef \rightarrow (S2,vf) \quad S2 \vdash e \rightarrow (S3,v) \quad (\text{Semantics of Pascal For}) \\
 C \equiv a \ c1 \ \dots \ ck \quad k = \lfloor (vf-vi)/v \rfloor + 1 \\
 a \equiv i := vi \quad (1 \leq j \leq k, \ a_j \equiv i := vi + j * v \quad c_j \equiv c \ a_j) \\
 S3 \vdash C \rightarrow S4 \\
 \hline
 S \vdash \text{for } i := ei \text{ to } ef \text{ step } e \text{ do } c \rightarrow S4
 \end{array}$$

Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= R$

$R ::= \text{For } ide := E \text{ To } E \text{ Step } E \text{ do } C;$

A different translation that guarantees the semantics also when the for block C updates the control variable ide.

The extended part of the Attribute Grammar

$C ::= R \{C.next := R.next\}$

$R ::= \text{For } ide := E_1 \{emit(ide.loc := "E_1.loc");$   
 $\quad \quad \quad j := newtemp; emit(j := "#1");\}$

To  $E_2$

Step  $E_3$  do  $\{loop-init := quad;$   
 $\quad \quad \quad R.next := quad;$   
 $\quad \quad \quad emit("if" ide.loc [>] E_2.loc "goto --")\}$

$C; \{BK(C.nex, loop-init);$   
 $\quad \quad \quad temp := newtemp;$   
 $\quad \quad \quad emit(temp := " j [*] E_3.loc;$   
 $\quad \quad \quad emit(ide.loc := "E_1.loc [+] temp);$   
 $\quad \quad \quad emit(j := "j[+]" "#1");$   
 $\quad \quad \quad emit("goto" loop-init)\}$

# Translation of Definite Iteration: Pascal For / 3

Show the code generated, by using the last attribute grammar, given for For, when the source is the following fragment of a Simple program:

```
For i:=0 to 10 step 4  
do {i:=i-4;j:=j+i}
```

Give firstly, semantics and code generation of the command sequence:  $\{c_1; \dots; c_n\}$  for arbitrary non-Empty sequences of commands.

# Translation of Conditional If

How does it do it ?

(Semantics of conditional If)

$$\frac{S \vdash e \rightarrow (Se, \text{true}) \quad Se \vdash c1 \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{if } e \ c1 \ c2 \rightarrow S1} \qquad \frac{S \vdash e \rightarrow (Se, \text{false}) \quad Se \vdash c2 \rightarrow S2}{S \vdash \text{if } e \ c1 \ c2 \rightarrow S2}$$

Extending the Simple Grammar

C ::= ITE  
 ITE ::= if E then C else C

The extended part of the Attribute Grammar using value-based translation for boolean

```
C ::= ITE {C.next:=ITE.next}
ITE ::= if E {else-init:=[quad];
           emit(if E.loc" :=#false goto --");
           then C1 {next:=[quad];
                    emit("goto --");
                    BK(else-init,quad);}
           else C2 {ITE.next:=C1.next+next+C2.next;}
```

The extended part of the Attribute Grammar using short-circuit translation for boolean

```
C ::= ITE {C.next:=ITE.next}
ITE ::= if E {BK(E.true,quad);}
           then C1 {next:=[quad];
                    emit("goto --");
                    BK(E.false,quad);}
           else C2 {ITE.next:=C1.next+next+C2.next;}
```

# Translation of Conditionals If and If-then

Solving a classical case of ambiguity:

if e then if e1 then c1 else c2

is it meaning:

- if e then (if e1 then c1) else c2 ?

- if e then (if e1 then c1 else c2) ?

*Noting the use of concrete syntax (in the high part) and abstract syntax (in the low part of the sentence)*

## Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= U \mid S$

$U ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } C \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } U$

$S ::= A \mid W \mid F \mid R \mid \{C\} \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } S$

## Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= Z \mid IT \mid ITE$

$Z ::= A \mid W \mid F \mid R \mid \{C\}$

$ITE ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } Z \text{ else } C$

$IT ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } C$

**else is always, associated with the nearest preceding if**

# Translation of Conditionals If and If-then / 2

## Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= U \mid S$

$U ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } C \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } U$

$S ::= A \mid W \mid F \mid R \mid \{C\} \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } S \text{ else } S$

## Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= Z \mid IT \mid ITE$

$Z ::= A \mid W \mid F \mid R \mid \{C\}$

$ITE ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } Z \text{ else } C$

$IT ::= \text{if } E \text{ then } C$

**Extend each grammar with the attributes for code generation**

# Translation of Conditional Case: C Switch

How does it to do it ?

(Semantics of C Switch)

$$\frac{S \vdash e \rightarrow (S1, v) \quad S1 \vdash \text{swV}(v) q \rightarrow S2}{S \vdash \text{switch}(e) q \rightarrow S2}$$

$S \vdash \text{switch}(e) q \rightarrow S2$

$$\frac{v \in \{w1 \dots wk\} \quad S \vdash c \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1}$$

$$\frac{v \notin \{w1 \dots wk\} \quad S \vdash \text{swV}(v) q \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1}$$

$$\frac{S \vdash c \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ default: } c \rightarrow S1}$$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ default: } c \rightarrow S1$

Extend the grammar of Simple with productions for command *switch*

# Translation of Conditional Case: C Switch

How does it to do it ?

(Semantics of C Switch)

$$\frac{S \vdash e \rightarrow (S1, v) \quad S1 \vdash \text{swV}(v) q \rightarrow S2}{S \vdash \text{switch}(e) q \rightarrow S2}$$

$S \vdash \text{switch}(e) q \rightarrow S2$

$$\frac{v \in \{w1 \dots wk\} \quad S \vdash c \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1}$$

$$\frac{v \notin \{w1 \dots wk\} \quad S \vdash \text{swV}(v) q \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1}$$

$$\frac{S \vdash c \rightarrow S1}{S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ default: } c \rightarrow S1}$$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ case } w1 \dots wk: c q \rightarrow S1$

$S \vdash \text{swV}(v) \text{ default: } c \rightarrow S1$

Extending the Simple Grammar

$C ::= S$

$S ::= \text{switch } E Q$

$Q ::= \text{case } V L : C Q$

$Q ::= \text{default} : C$

$L ::= V L$

$L ::= \epsilon$

$V ::= \text{Num} \mid \text{Char}$

Extend the grammar above with the actions for for the code generation



# Translation of Conditional Case: C Switch

## Attributes:

- inloc*: - location returned from the translation of the switch guard expression
- inherited of {Q,L}
  - used for generating code that compares the value of the guard expression with the labels of the statements
- inInit*: - list of the target incompletes that must be completed with the the address of the next label checking stm.
- inherithed of {L}
- Cinit*: - list of the target incompletes that must completed with the address starting the code of the case command
- synthesized of {L}
- False*: - list of the target incompletes that must completed with the address starting the code of the next case guard
- synthesized of {L}
  - used to generate code that transfer the control to the next case statement.

## Extending the Simple Grammar

```
C ::= S
S ::= switch E Q
Q ::= case V L : C Q
Q ::= default : C
L ::= V L
L ::= ε
V ::= Num | Char
```

Extend the grammar above with the actions for for a code generation using the attributes introduced in the top of the slide

# Conditional Case: C Switch

## Applying the Translation

Show the code generated, by using the given, attribute grammar, when the source is the following fragment of a Simple program:

```
switch x
  case u, v, z : x:= y*w+x;
  default: x:=0;
```

# Conditional Case: Switch

## Syntactic Sugar and Abstract Syntax

*Noting that the this form of switch is adequate for the Abstract Syntax of switch of C-like languages*

**Complete the grammar with the attributes that are needed to checking for the correct presence of *exactly one default* statement in the command switch**

**Complete with actions that are Checking for one default**

**C ::= S**

**S ::= switch E Q**

**Q ::= U Q**

**Q ::= U**

**U ::= case V L : C**

**U ::= default : C**

**L ::= V L**

**L ::=  $\epsilon$**

**V ::= Num | Char**

# Conditional Case: Switch

## Syntactic Sugar and Abstract Syntax

*Noting that the this form of switch is adequate for the Abstract Syntax of switch of C-like languages*

**Complete the grammar with the attributes that are needed to generate an Abstract Syntax having the switch default statement as the last sub-tree in the tree depth-first visit.**

### Extending the Simple Grammar

```
C ::= S
S ::= switch E Q
Q ::= U Q
Q ::= U
U ::= case V L : C
U ::= default : C
L ::= V L
L ::= ε
V ::= Num | Char
```

*where  $mk("a")=["a"-\diamond]$ ;  $mk("a",L)=["a"-L]$ ; operazioni su liste L di tree:  $emptylist=[]$ ;  $append=+$*

# Conditional Case: Switch

## Syntactic Sugar and Abstract Syntax

*Noting that the this form of switch is adequate for the Abstract Syntax of switch of C-like languages*

**Complete the grammar with the attributes that are needed to generate a text transliteration of the switch command in a way that default statement is put at the end, whilst all the other ones stay unchanged**

### Extending the Simple Grammar

```
C ::= S
S ::= switch E Q
Q ::= U Q
Q ::= U
U ::= case V L : C ;
U ::= default : C ;
L ::= , V L
L ::= ε
V ::= Num | Char
```

*where || = text concatenation*

# A Strange Iteration Statement: *iter*

(Reduction Semantics of *iter*)

$$S \vdash e \rightarrow (S,i) \quad q \equiv c_1 \dots c_i \dots c_n \quad S \vdash c_i \rightarrow S1$$

$$S1 \vdash \text{iter } e \ q \rightarrow S2$$


---


$$S \vdash \text{iter } e \ q \rightarrow S2$$

$$S \vdash e \rightarrow (S,i) \quad q \equiv c_1 \dots c_i \dots c_n \quad i \notin [1..n]$$


---


$$S \vdash \text{iter } e \ q \rightarrow S$$

Extend the Simple Grammar  
with the command *iter*

```
C ::= R
R ::= iter E Q
Q ::= C Q
Q ::= C
```

Extend into an attribute grammar for code translation

```
C ::= R {C.next:= R.next;}
R ::= iter {init:=quad;}
      E {Q.iloc:= E.loc; Q.icount:=1; Q.iinit:=init; Q.inextc:=[]}
      Q {R.next:= Q.next;}
Q1 ::= {BK(Q1.nextc,quad); Q2.inextc:= [quad];
        emit("if" Q1.iloc [≠] Q1.icount "goto --");}
      C {BK(C.next,Q1.iinit); emit("goto" Q1.iinit);
        Q2.iloc:= Q1.iloc; Q2.icount:=Q1.icount+1; Q2.iinit:=Q1.iinit;}
      Q2 {Q1.next:= Q2.next;}
Q ::= {BK(Q.nextc,quad); Q.next:= [quad];
        emit("if" Q1.iloc [≠] Q1.icount "goto --");}
      C {BK(C.next,Q.iinit); emit("goto" Q.iinit);}
```

# A Strange Iteration Statement: iter

Show the code generated, by using the given, attribute grammar, when the source is the following fragment of a Simple program:

```
iter x
  x:= x+1;
  x:= x -1;
  while x>1 do x:=x-1;
```

# Procedure Invocation: Transmission by Value

(Reduction Semantics of call-by-value)

$$\frac{S_0 \vdash e_1 \rightarrow (S_1, v_1) \quad \dots \quad S_{n-1} \vdash e_n \rightarrow (S_n, v_n) \quad S_n \vdash \text{binding}((v_1, \dots, v_n), (x_1, \dots, x_n)) \rightarrow S_{\text{inv}}}{\text{body}(S_n, p) = C \quad \text{pars}(S_n, p) = x_1, \dots, x_n \quad S_{\text{inv}} \vdash C \rightarrow S_{\text{ret}}} S_0 \vdash p(e_1, \dots, e_n) \rightarrow S_{\text{ret}}$$

Extend into an attribute grammar

$C ::= P$

$P ::= \text{ide } (E \ V)$

$V ::= , \ E \ V$

$V ::= \epsilon$



# Procedure Invocation: Transmission by Reference

(Reduction Semantics of call-by-reference)

$$\begin{array}{c} S_0 \vdash e_1 \rightarrow (S_1, l_1) \dots S_{n-1} \vdash e_n \rightarrow (S_n, l_n) \quad S_n \vdash \text{binding}((l_1, \dots, l_n), (x_1, \dots, x_n)) \rightarrow S_{\text{inv}} \\ \hline \text{body}(S_n, p) = C \quad \text{pars}(S_n, p) = x_1, \dots, x_n \quad S_{\text{inv}} \vdash C \rightarrow S_{\text{ret}} \\ \hline S_0 \vdash p(e_1, \dots, e_n) \rightarrow S_{\text{ret}} \end{array}$$

Extend into an attribute grammar

$C ::= P$

$P ::= \text{ide } (E \ V)$

$V ::= , \ E \ V$

$V ::= \epsilon$

# Preprocessing Optimization

## Expression Constant Reduction

Complete the grammar of integer expressions of Simple with the attributes and the actions that are needed to generate a text transliteration of expression in a way that constant sub-expressions are statically computed and replaced with the resulting value. For instance, the expression "3+2\*x\*(2+1)+10+y" must be reduced to: "x\*6+y+13".

Extend into an attribute grammar

$E ::= E + F \mid F$

$F ::= F * N \mid N$

$N ::= - N \mid T$

$T ::= \text{num} \mid \text{ide} \mid (E)$